er. Hark Tynch 122 Maryland dwn., HE Washington, D.C. 20002

Dear Mark,

In the event you want them for the completeness of your file, I enclose UIP's 12/31/84 to me and my today's response.

I think it is obvious that they lied in the litigation in telling the courte that sy appeals and been acted upon and this is proof positive, those being Dallas records and the subject of extensive attention in my affidavits.

Whether their sworn lies are perjury or not is another matter, as is whether any court wall ever do anything about persisting official perjury.

I'm not taking the time to learn whether only Sa John Phillips, FRIER FOIPA, aware that there were no dubs. It Specht in Dallas did, it has to be perjury.

hope you have a good year!

## Sincerely,

JL: Maybe I am Merlin after all! This is one of the illustrations I wanted Mark to include in the brief. And look what happens!

I have difficulty believing that at FBIHQ Phillips was not aware of at the least what was going to the Ramsey panel. Certainly FOIPA had to know.